

ESG in fixed income

Being skeptical about skepticism

January 2022



Wealth
Management

While ESG is experiencing some growing pains, we think these concerns are relatively small and outweighed by the long- and short-term benefits of adding ESG criteria into fixed income investing.

Corporate bond issuers are increasingly focused on tapping demand for responsible investing (RI), with Moody's estimating \$1 trillion in sustainability-linked debt sales for 2021. RI is an umbrella term that encompasses three main branches: (1) ESG integration, which focuses on supporting companies that perform well on environmental, social, and governance (ESG) metrics; (2) Impact investing, which looks for quantifiable impact on particular social or environmental goals; and (3) Socially responsible investing, which aligns personal values with investment choices by providing or withholding investment capital from specific industries or companies.

ESG integration relies on how a particular company ranks on material ESG factors. Third-party rating services are often used to rank companies relative to their peers. With more money flowing to investments with attractive ESG profiles, there has been increasing focus on the lack of consistency in determining the criteria for ESG ranking, alongside reports of so-called “greenwashing,” or deceptive practices designed to artificially inflate an issuer’s ESG score.

We believe these scoring concerns are overstated for both equities and fixed income. For fixed income in particular, the discussion in part reflects a misunderstanding of corporate bond issuance and the role of the corporate structure. We think it would be a mistake for investors to ignore ESG as an investment criteria due to these growing pains, which—even if true—carry relatively low impact to well-diversified portfolios.

Nothing new under the sun

ESG as an investment label is relatively new, but elements of the concept are long-standing in the fixed income world. For a corporate entity, governance is largely synonymous with “character” for an individual, one of

the most basic credit lending standards. Environmental issues have also figured importantly in the credit analysis of issuers in certain industries. In the U.S. oil and gas sector, for example, investors routinely consider potential environmental impacts that could disrupt or block the permitting of expansionary projects, with possible financial consequences for the company.

We believe potential ESG bond investors should take comfort in the fact that credit markets have decades of experience in evaluating and pricing these types of risks. The variability of ESG scores between different providers does not mean that the analysis is arbitrary and unreliable; instead, these differences in part reflect how different raters weight the various ESG factors. For now, investors and advisors may have to dig a bit deeper into the ESG scoring and ranking to understand the weighting format, but we believe the benefits of further research warrant the additional time.

The devil—and the ESG score—is in the details

ESG has its roots in equity investing, and equity investors tend to take a holistic view of an enterprise; after all, the owner of a business is the owner of it all—good, bad, and ugly. Fixed income is different. Investors lend money to a specific legal entity—the so-called obligor. This legal entity is often an operating company that produces a company’s goods and makes sales to the company’s clients. In many cases, the obligor will be limited to selling one particular line of products or sell only to a particular geography. These limited-focus operating companies are generally not the well-known publicly traded equity; instead, companies often have a holding company that brings together all of the disparate operating companies into a publicly traded holding company.

For author's contact information and important disclosures see [page 3](#).

Investment and insurance products offered through RBC Wealth Management are not insured by the FDIC or any other federal government agency, are not deposits or other obligations of, or guaranteed by, a bank or any bank affiliate, and are subject to investment risks, including possible loss of the principal amount invested.

This distinction matters for ESG bond investors. Many of the criticisms of ESG-rated bonds conflate the borrower with the holding company. Some of the largest polluters have operating divisions focused on cleaner energy or cleaner products. Bonds sold out of these legal entities may carry a positive ESG score, which may seem incongruous with the larger company's environmental footprint. But this entity-specific ESG score is both appropriate and helpful.

By drawing in ESG investors, these operating divisions should have a lower cost of capital, which will lead the overall company to focus growth on these potentially higher return investments. By concentrating growth on cleaner product lines within an overall potentially problematic structure, ESG investing can effect real change, and it's entirely appropriate for ESG ratings to reflect these benefits.

Greenwashing by any other name

Prospective ESG investors will eventually encounter the "greenwashing" label, which refers to bonds or securities that are presented with inflated ESG metrics. We believe this label is significantly overused and the practice—to the extent it occurs—is no impediment to adding ESG considerations to an investor's portfolio.

As discussed, many of these greenwashing arguments conflate legal entities and ignore the benefits of lending to an ESG-positive division. But greenwashing complaints are also frequently levied against a project that was purported to have significant positive ESG characteristics, but in reality failed to deliver the promised benefits.

Failing to meet a goal, however, is not a sign of improper behavior. Companies frequently miss financial goals and that is an understood risk of making projections in an uncertain world. Since ESG can involve new technologies or novel engineering challenges, estimates can be especially difficult to meet. These failures to fully deliver do not constitute a reason to dismiss ESG criteria, any more than Enron's rapid decline from AAA to default proved that credit ratings are worthless.

Diversification and portfolio construction can also help minimize the impact of greenwashing on an investor. Even if some issuers in a portfolio have overstated ESG scoring metrics, the overall portfolio likely remains beneficial, in much the same way that the S&P 500 can rally even though prices drop for several constituent members. And since ESG supplements—and does not replace—traditional credit analysis, the financial impact should be similarly contained. In short, even if we accept the critics' view on the current state of ESG ratings, both the financial and the social investment cases remain intact, in our opinion.

Perfect is the enemy of the good

ESG is undoubtedly experiencing growing pains and there are legitimate questions on how environmental, social, and governance levels are calculated and then weighted. For investors considering incorporating ESG into their portfolios, we think these concerns are relatively small and outweighed by the long- and short-term benefits of adding ESG criteria.

Author

Atul Bhatia, CFA

Fixed Income Portfolio Strategist

atul.bhatia@rbccm.com; RBC Capital Markets, LLC

Disclaimer

The information contained in this report has been compiled by RBC Wealth Management from sources believed to be reliable, but no representation or warranty, express or implied, is made by Royal Bank of Canada, RBC Wealth Management, its affiliates or any other person as to its accuracy, completeness or correctness. The material contained herein is not a product of any research department of RBC Capital Markets, LLC or any of its affiliates. Nothing herein constitutes a recommendation of any security or regarding any issuer; nor is it intended to provide information sufficient to make an investment decision. All opinions and estimates contained in this report constitute RBC Wealth Management's judgment as of the date of this report, are subject to change without notice and are provided in good faith but without legal responsibility. This report is not an offer to sell or a solicitation of an offer to buy any securities. Past performance is not a guide to future performance, future returns are not guaranteed, and a loss of original capital may occur. Every province in Canada, state in the U.S., and most countries throughout the world have their own laws regulating the types of securities and other investment products which may be offered to their residents, as well as the process for doing so. As a result, the securities discussed in this report may not be eligible for sale in some jurisdictions. This report is not, and under no circumstances should be construed as, a solicitation to act as securities broker or dealer in any jurisdiction by any person or company that is not legally permitted to carry on the business of a securities broker or dealer in that jurisdiction. Nothing in this report constitutes legal, accounting or tax advice or individually tailored investment advice. This material is prepared for general circulation to clients and has been prepared without regard to the individual financial circumstances and objectives of persons who receive it. Diversification does not assure a profit or protect against loss. Bond investors should carefully consider risks such as interest rate, credit, repurchase and reverse repurchase transaction risks. Non-investment grade rated bonds (a.k.a. high yield bonds) tend to be subject to larger price fluctuations than investment grade rated bonds and payment of interest and principal is not assured. Investing in municipal bonds involves risks, such as interest rate risk, credit risk and market risk, including the possible loss of principal. Clients should contact their tax advisor regarding the suitability of tax-exempt investments in their portfolio. If sold prior to maturity, municipal securities are subject to gain/losses based on the level of interest rates, market conditions and the credit quality of the issuer. Income may be subject to the alternative minimum tax (AMT) and/or state and local taxes, based on state of residence. Income from municipal bonds could be declared taxable because of unfavorable changes in tax laws, adverse interpretations by the Internal Revenue Service or state tax authorities, or noncompliant conduct of a bond issuer. The investments or services contained in this report may not be suitable for you and it is recommended that you consult your financial advisor if you are in doubt about the suitability of such investments or services. To the full extent permitted by law neither RBC Wealth Management nor any of its affiliates, nor any other person, accepts any liability whatsoever for any direct, indirect or consequential loss arising from, or in connection with, any use of this report or the information contained herein. No matter contained in this document may be reproduced or copied by any means without the prior written consent of RBC Wealth Management in each instance. RBC Wealth Management is a division of RBC Capital Markets, LLC, member NYSE/FINRA/SIPC, which is an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary of the Royal Bank of Canada and, as such, is a related issuer of Royal Bank of Canada and part of the RBC Financial Group. Additional information is available upon request. ©2022 Royal Bank of Canada. All rights reserved.