

Fitch downgrades U.S.

August 3, 2023



Wealth
Management

On Aug. 1, Fitch Ratings cut the United States to a AA+ rating from AAA. This follows a similar move by S&P in 2011 and leaves Moody's as the only major rating agency to still classify the U.S. as AAA.

Standard bond market practice is to use the median level when evaluating so-called split-rated issuers, meaning the U.S. is now considered a AA-category issuer for the first time since Treasuries were initially rated in the early 1960s.

Drivers

Fitch ascribed its decision to a combination of macroeconomic factors and governance issues. It highlighted the current debt burden—which it attributed to a combination of tax cuts and spending initiatives—and projections on future increases in debt levels driven by rising interest rates, an aging population, and higher healthcare spending. On the governance front, Fitch's comments focused on debt-limit showdowns that are only resolved at the last minute.

Not much to see

We believe that the loss of AAA-status is unlikely to have a significant, durable impact on U.S. Treasuries.


To begin with, there is nothing new in Fitch's report. Market participants will not be shocked to learn that U.S. debt metric projections are problematic. We think it was clear early in the debt ceiling negotiations that governance had deteriorated. The rating cut itself is also not entirely unexpected. We, along with other commentators, specifically highlighted the risk that even a successful negotiation of a debt ceiling raise could lead to a rating cut.

In addition to the lack of insight, we think participants will rightly discount the intermediate term debt projections, given the sensitivity of this analysis to the underlying assumptions. While it's useful to look at forward-looking projections based on current state policy, we should keep

in mind that these projections almost never materialize in practice.

Most importantly, we believe there is nothing in Fitch's report that raises a credible threat to the U.S.'s ability to service its debt.

Even as interest expenses rise, affordability remains in line with historical norms



Source - RBC Wealth Management, Bloomberg, Bureau of Economic Analysis

And even less to care about

The more significant reason to think that Fitch's move will have limited market impact is that, in our view, few investors buy U.S. Treasuries based on a fundamental analysis of the underlying credit quality.

The two largest investor types—the Federal Reserve and overseas institutions—hold more than half of marketable Treasury debt outstanding. The Fed is, of course, holding Treasuries as part of monetary policy making, and we do not believe the downgrade will accelerate the central bank's ongoing quantitative tightening program.

For important disclosures, see [page 2](#).

Investment and insurance products offered through RBC Wealth Management are not insured by the FDIC or any other federal government agency, are not deposits or other obligations of, or guaranteed by, a bank or any bank affiliate, and are subject to investment risks, including possible loss of the principal amount invested.

Overseas investors, in our view, choose Treasuries largely because of currency preferences. They choose to save in U.S. dollars, given its dominant role in international trade, and because of that currency choice they buy Treasuries as a holding vehicle. There may be modifications to currency preferences driven by de-dollarization, but we think it is highly unlikely Treasury default risk plays any role in the process.

Treasuries also offer unique and attractive characteristics for non-official buyers. They are the deepest and most liquid fixed income market in the world, allowing investors to enter and exit with relatively low costs. Treasuries also serve as the benchmark collateral for securities financing markets, meaning investors are usually able to borrow against their Treasury holdings with greater ease and at lower cost than most—if not all—other financial assets.

For most investors, switching out of Treasuries based on the credit risk difference implied by a cut to AA+ from AAA is difficult to justify. Other alternatives—such as AAA-rated corporates or foreign currency denominated bonds from other countries—are likely to be less liquid than Treasuries and more volatile, after accounting for currency moves.

Author

Atul Bhatia, CFA

Fixed Income Portfolio Strategist
atul.bhatia@rbc.com; RBC Capital Markets, LLC

Disclaimer

The information contained in this report has been compiled by RBC Wealth Management from sources believed to be reliable, but no representation or warranty, express or implied, is made by Royal Bank of Canada, RBC Wealth Management, its affiliates or any other person as to its accuracy, completeness or correctness. The material contained herein is not a product of any research department of RBC Capital Markets, LLC or any of its affiliates. Nothing herein constitutes a recommendation of any security or regarding any issuer; nor is it intended to provide information sufficient to make an investment decision. All opinions and estimates contained in this report constitute RBC Wealth Management's judgment as of the date of this report, are subject to change without notice and are provided in good faith but without legal responsibility. This report is not an offer to sell or a solicitation of an offer to buy any securities. Past performance is not a guide to future performance, future returns are not guaranteed, and a loss of original capital may occur. Every province in Canada, state in the U.S., and most countries throughout the world have their own laws regulating the types of securities and other investment products which may be offered to their residents, as well as the process for doing so. As a result, the securities discussed in this report may not be eligible for sale in some jurisdictions. This report is not, and under no circumstances should be construed as, a solicitation to act as securities broker or dealer in any jurisdiction by any person or company that is not legally permitted to carry on the business of a securities broker or dealer in that jurisdiction. Nothing in this report constitutes legal, accounting or tax advice or individually tailored investment advice. This material is prepared for general circulation to clients and has been prepared without regard to the individual financial circumstances and objectives of persons who receive it. Diversification does not assure a profit or protect against loss. Bond investors should carefully consider risks such as interest rate, credit, repurchase and reverse repurchase transaction risks. Non-investment grade rated bonds (a.k.a. high yield bonds) tend to be subject to larger price fluctuations than investment grade rated bonds and payment of interest and principal is not assured. Investing in municipal bonds involves risks, such as interest rate risk, credit risk and market risk, including the possible loss of principal. Clients should contact their tax advisor regarding the suitability of tax-exempt investments in their portfolio. If sold prior to maturity, municipal securities are subject to gain/losses based on the level of interest rates, market conditions and the credit quality of the issuer. Income may be subject to the alternative minimum tax (AMT) and/or state and local taxes, based on state of residence. Income from municipal bonds could be declared taxable because of unfavorable changes in tax laws, adverse interpretations by the Internal Revenue Service or state tax authorities, or noncompliant conduct of a bond issuer. The investments or services contained in this report may not be suitable for you and it is recommended that you consult your financial advisor if you are in doubt about the suitability of such investments or services. To the full extent permitted by law neither RBC Wealth Management nor any of its affiliates, nor any other person, accepts any liability whatsoever for any direct, indirect or consequential loss arising from, or in connection with, any use of this report or the information contained herein. No matter contained in this document may be reproduced or copied by any means without the prior written consent of RBC Wealth Management in each instance. RBC Wealth Management is a division of RBC Capital Markets, LLC, member NYSE/FINRA/SIPC, which is an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary of the Royal Bank of Canada and, as such, is a related issuer of Royal Bank of Canada and part of the RBC Financial Group. Additional information is available upon request. ©2023 Royal Bank of Canada. All rights reserved.

Potential technical moves

We believe the most likely negative impact for Treasury markets from the Fitch downgrade is a technical one. Some investors are prohibited from holding non-AAA securities, and they may be forced to exit or reduce their Treasury holdings as a result. In our experience, most investment restrictions treat Treasuries as their own asset class, independent of rating, and we do not expect widespread forced selling because of Fitch's move.

In our view, the recent rise in Treasury yields is attributable to recent strong economic data, an increase in the size of upcoming Treasury bond auctions, and the relatively low trading liquidity typical of August. To the extent that the Fitch downgrade impacted bond prices, we believe the effect was likely minimal.

A pebble in the road

Fitch, S&P, and countless other economic commentators, rightly highlight weaknesses in the U.S. budget process and outcomes. For investors, however, the issues that Fitch raised are well-known, unlikely to be material in the near term, and fail, in our view, to make a case for switching out of Treasuries and into other assets.