Municipal resiliency

Municipal bond investors are understandably unsettled by news reports suggesting state and local governments’ financial futures are dire because of the pandemic. However, while many governments will experience difficult times ahead, we believe some of the ruinous rhetoric by government officials is designed to maximize additional federal assistance from a divided federal government.

More importantly, we believe that investors should ignore the hyperbolic municipal forecasts, and instead focus on municipalities’ inherent strengths that have resulted in exceptionally low default rates through numerous economic cycles over the past 50 years. We acknowledge this time is different because every market disturbance is unique. However, what is also unique compared to other asset classes are the tools and resources available to many municipalities to counter these distinct disruptions.

Many municipalities are likely going to face difficult financial challenges and imbalances that will result in amplified rating downgrades. Yet despite this forecast, we believe investors are better served focusing on—and continuing to invest in—the plethora of municipalities that will rebalance their fiscal operations as opposed to the relatively few high-profile, fiscally challenged municipalities that will dominate the airwaves. For every Puerto Rico, there are literally tens of thousands of municipalities that steadfastly honor their debt obligations, from Portland, Maine to Portland, Oregon, and from Franklin, Minnesota to Franklin, Louisiana.

Municipal attributes

Traditional municipalities are essential service monopolies that commonly have vast taxing power and the ability to reduce expenditures and services without immediately losing constituents. Layer in the widely perceived ability of municipalities to improve their operational efficiencies, and governments have three avenues—revenues, expenditures, and efficiencies—to restore fiscal balance.

Admittedly, increasing revenues is more difficult during an economic downturn, reducing services and staff is never popular, and maximizing operational efficiency may not be in a government’s culture. But necessity is the mother of all invention. The fiscal fallout from this pandemic will force change—municipalities will increase taxes and cut spending and will be forced to find efficiencies, in our opinion. While the employment of these three solutions will vary greatly among municipalities, the collective effectiveness of these solutions to stabilize municipal finances seems clear from a historical standpoint.
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Investors should put any default in perspective and not project that widespread municipal defaults are therefore inevitable.

**Structural protections**
Many run-of-the-mill municipal bonds are backed by property taxes on a valuable asset (peoples’ homes), which may be taken for non-payment. This legal structure strongly incentivizes residents to pay their property taxes, thereby better enabling municipalities to continue paying their debt service obligations even during economic downturns.

Also, many revenue bonds have excess debt service coverage ratios that provide a buffer against revenue declines that often occur during economic downturns. Moreover, revenue bonds often have debt service reserves that can be tapped if pledged revenues prove insufficient.

**Parting thoughts**
Naysayers seem to write off state and local governments during every economic downturn despite municipal bonds having an enviable repayment track record compared to almost all other asset classes.

Maybe municipal bonds have a tarnished image because they are perceived as being bureaucratic, sub-optimally run, and subject to the political winds. Yet despite these flaws, municipal default rates are orders of magnitude better than corporate bonds. According to a Moody’s Investors Service default study, investment-grade municipal general obligation (GO) bonds defaulted less than 0.05% over 10-year rolling periods since 1970 compared to 2.25% for global investment-grade corporate bonds. Given the chronic malignment of municipal bonds, this comparison cannot be overstated to drive home the point that municipal bonds have been a much safer relative investment historically than corporate bonds, and—by extension—stock equity.

We acknowledge that some municipalities will fail their constituents and bondholders, but the failures will be rare, in our opinion, based on the reasons cited above. As such, investors should put any default in perspective and not project that widespread municipal defaults are therefore inevitable. Brooks Brothers, Virgin Atlantic, Gold’s Gym, Cirque du Soleil, GNC, and Hertz—to name a few—have all declared bankruptcy this year, yet most investors are not indiscriminately maligning all corporate debt. We believe municipal debt should be afforded the same courtesy because every situation and solution is unique.
Third-party disclaimer

References herein to “LIBOR”, “LIBO Rate”, “L” or other LIBOR abbreviations means the London interbank offered rate as administered by ICE Benchmark Administration (or any other person that takes over the administration of such rate).

Disclaimer

The information contained in this report has been compiled by RBC Wealth Management from sources believed to be reliable, but no representation or warranty, express or implied, is made by Royal Bank of Canada, RBC Wealth Management, its affiliates or any other person as to its accuracy, completeness or correctness. The material contained herein is not a product of any research department of RBC Capital Markets, LLC or any of its affiliates. Nothing herein constitutes a recommendation of any security or regarding any issuer; nor is it intended to provide information sufficient to make an investment decision. All opinions and estimates contained in this report constitute RBC Wealth Management’s judgment as of the date of this report, are subject to change without notice and are provided in good faith but without legal responsibility. This report is not an offer to sell or a solicitation of an offer to buy any securities. Past performance is not a guide to future performance, future returns are not guaranteed, and a loss of original capital may occur. Every province in Canada, state in the U.S., and most countries throughout the world have their own laws regulating the types of securities and other investment products which may be offered to their residents, as well as the process for doing so. As a result, the securities discussed in this report may not be eligible for sale in some jurisdictions. This report is not, and under no circumstances should be construed as, a solicitation to act as securities broker or dealer in any jurisdiction by any person or company that is not legally permitted to carry on the business of a securities broker or dealer in that jurisdiction. Nothing in this report constitutes legal, accounting or tax advice or individually tailored investment advice. This material is prepared for general circulation to clients and has been prepared without regard to the individual financial circumstances and objectives of persons who receive it. Diversification does not assure a profit or protect against loss. Bond investors should carefully consider risks such as interest rate, credit, repurchase and reverse repurchase transaction risks. Non-investment grade rated bonds (a.k.a. high yield bonds) tend to be subject to larger price fluctuations than investment grade rated bonds and payment of interest and principal is not assured. Investing in municipal bonds involves risks, such as interest rate risk, credit risk and market risk, including the possible loss of principal. Clients should contact their tax advisor regarding the suitability of tax-exempt investments in their portfolio. If sold prior to maturity, municipal securities are subject to gain/losses based on the level of interest rates, market conditions and the credit quality of the issuer. Income may be subject to the alternative minimum tax (AMT) and/or state and local taxes, based on state of residence. Income from municipal bonds could be declared taxable because of unfavorable changes in tax laws, adverse interpretations by the Internal Revenue Service or state tax authorities, or noncompliant conduct of a bond issuer. The investments or services contained in this report may not be suitable for you and it is recommended that you consult your financial advisor if you are in doubt about the suitability of such investments or services. To the full extent permitted by law neither RBC Wealth Management nor any of its affiliates, nor any other person, accepts any liability whatsoever for any direct, indirect or consequential loss arising from, or in connection with, any use of this report or the information contained herein. No matter contained in this document may be reproduced or copied by any means without the prior written consent of RBC Wealth Management in each instance. RBC Wealth Management is a division of RBC Capital Markets, LLC, member NYSE/FINRA/SIPC, which is an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary of the Royal Bank of Canada and, as such, is a related issuer of Royal Bank of Canada and part of the RBC Financial Group. Additional information is available upon request. ©2020 Royal Bank of Canada. All rights reserved.